

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,963	11/13/2003	Wolf-Eckhart Bulst	071308.0484	9428	
31625	7590 12/13/20	EXAMINER ALLEN, ANDRE J			
BAKER B	OTTS L.L.P.				
•••	EPARTMENT CINTO BLVD., SUIT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ΓX 78701-4039		2855		
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/200	DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/712.963	BULST ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Andre J. Allen	2855

	Andre J. Allen	2855					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be seen filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS	•						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			because				
<ul><li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in below</li></ul>		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		. Non all filed amandm	ant conceling				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendir	ient canceling				
The non-allowable claim(s).  7. $\boxtimes$ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered, or b) $\square$ will be entered and an explanation of							
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-7 and 12-19</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>8</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. 🛛 Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
		MA TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE					
	EDWAR	D LEFKOWITZ					
	SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINER					
.S. Patent and Trademark Office	TECHNOLO	GY CENTER 2800	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Continuation of 13. Other: In reference to section 3(a) of this advisory, claim 8 does not place the aplicaaton in condition for allowance. The claim (8) is deemed unclear and would cause 35 USC 112 second paragraph issues. That is, the claim refers to "a reflector for an electromacnetic sienal that can be modulated via the alternatine value" repetively. It is not clear if these are separate structres (first and second) therefore, requring the examiner to provide futher consideration.